2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-AB207)

Received By: mkunkel Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Joel Drafter: mkunkel Addl. Drafters:							
				Extra Copies:			
				y			

Submitted Jacketed Required							
Submitted Jacketed Required							
	Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Joel Drafter: mkunkel Addl. Drafters:						

FE Sent For:

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Received. 10/29/20	U /			Received by. III	Kulikei		
Wanted: As time permits				Identical to LRB:			
For: Kathleen Vinehout (608) 266-8546				By/Representing: Joel			
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO May Contact:				Drafter: mkunkel Addl. Drafters:			
Submit via email: Y	ES						
Requester's email:	Sen.Vineh	out@legis.	wisconsin.gov	7			
Carbon copy (CC:)	to: david.love	ll@legis.wi	sconsin.gov				
Pre Topic:							
No specific pre topi	c given						
Topic:					······································		
Franchise fees, PEC	support fees, and	PEG in-kin	d support				
Instructions:		***************************************					
See Attached							
Drafting History:							
Vers. Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/? mkunkel	/1 lmk 10/29						

FE Sent For:			∠END>				

Kunkel, Mark

From:

Kunkel, Mark

Sent:

Monday, October 29, 2007 5:56 PM

To: Cc: Nilsestuen, Joel Lovell, David

Subject:

RE: amendment

After thinking about this, I think the best way to handle this issue is to make changes to LRBa0803/1, rather than draft a separate amendment.

However, to make changes to LRBa0803/1, I will need the stripes back. Rather than wait for the stripes, I am drafting a new amendment (LRBa0821/1) that is identical to LRBa0803/1, except that it will also do what you request below.

You should get LRBa0821/1 early tomorrow morning.

I hope this is okay. If not, please let me know soon.

From:

Kunkel, Mark

Sent: To: Monday, October 29, 2007 5:11 PM

Subject:

Nilsestuen, Joel RE: amendment

Will do.

From:

Nilsestuen, Joel

Sent:

Monday, October 29, 2007 4:31 PM

To: Subject: Kunkel, Mark amendment

Mark,

I reviewed LRBa0803 and it doesn't mention in-kind support to PEGs. Could you draft a separate amendment that would preserve any in-kind support that an incumbent provider and municipality negotiated? This support should be treated as in a0803, i.e. continues for the duration of the present agreement.

Joel Nilsestuen
Office of Sen. Kathleen Vinehout

PO Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

(608) 266-8546

Joel.Nilsestuen@legis.wisconsin.gov

2007 - 2008 LEGISLATURE

O-NOTE)

SENATE AMENDMENT,
TO 2007 ASSEMBLY BILL 207

LRBa9803/1
MDK:lmk&wlj:jf

1 At the locations indicated, amend the engrossed bill as follows:

- 1. Page 13, line 9: delete "municipality, the" and substitute "municipality.".
- 3 **2.** Page 13, line 10: delete lines 10 to 13.

10am

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- **3.** Page 18, line 21: delete the material beginning with "channel," and ending with "(em)," on line 22 and substitute "channel".
 - **4.** Page 21, line 11: delete the material beginning with that line and ending with page 23, line 2, and substitute:
- "(7) VIDEO SERVICE PROVIDER FEE. (a) Duty to pay fee; amounts. 1. Notwithstanding s. 66.0611, and except as provided in subd. 2., a video service provider shall, on a quarterly calendar basis, calculate and pay to each municipality in which the video service provider provides video service a video service provider fee equal to, as specified by each municipality every 2 years, no more than 5 percent of

the video service provider's gross receipts. A video service provider shall remit the fee to the municipality no later than 45 days after the end of each quarter.

- 2. If a video service provider is an incumbent cable operator that was required to pay a municipality a franchise fee based on a percentage of the incumbent cable operator's revenues pursuant to a cable franchise granted by the municipality that was in effect immediately before the effective date of this subdivision [revisor inserts date], then such video service provider shall continue to pay the municipality such franchise fee based on such percentage until the expiration date of the cable franchise that is set forth in the cable franchise. Upon such expiration date, the video service provider shall pay the municipality a video service provider fee under subd. 1.".
- **5.** Page 23, line 23: delete the material beginning with that line and ending with page 24, line 8, and substitute:
- "(em) *PEG channel monetary support.* 1. Except as provided in subd. 2., a municipality may, by ordinance, for the purpose of supporting PEG channels, require a video service provider to pay the municipality a fee equal to no more than 1 percent of the video service provider's annual gross receipts. A video service provider shall pay a fee required under this subdivision at the time that the video service provider pays a video service provider fee to the municipality."

6. Page 24, line 13: delete the material beginning with that line and ending with page 25, line 4.

(END)

2007-2008 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa0821/1ins MDK:...:...

1	INSERT 2-19:
2	Page 24, line 9: delete "interim" and substitute "incumbent".
3	Page 24, line 9: before "support" insert "or in-kind". V
4	Page 24, line 11: before "shall continue" insert "or any agreement in effect
5	on the effective date of this subdivision [revisor inserts date],". $\sqrt{}$
6	Page 24, line 12: delete that line and substitute:
7	"expiration date of the cable franchise or agreement as set forth in the cable
8	franchise or agreement.". 🗸

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa0821/1dn MDK:...:...

(date)

Sen. Vinehout:

This amendment is identical to LRBa0803/1, except that it also requires incumbent cable operators to continue to provide monetary or in–kind support for access facilities for PEG channels as required under cable franchises or other agreements in effect on the effective date of the bill. The duty continues until the expiration date set forth in the cable franchise or agreement. \checkmark

Mark D. Kunkel Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266-0131

E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa0821/1dn MDK:lmk:jf

October 30, 2007

Sen. Vinehout:

This amendment is identical to LRBa0803/1, except that it also requires incumbent cable operators to continue to provide monetary or in-kind support for access facilities for PEG channels as required under cable franchises or other agreements in effect on the effective date of the bill. The duty continues until the expiration date set forth in the cable franchise or agreement.

Mark D. Kunkel Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266-0131

E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov

Basford, Sarah

From:

Kunkel, Mark

Sent:

Wednesday, November 07, 2007 10:49 AM

To:

Basford, Sarah

Subject:

RE: LRB 07s0128 Topic: Video service franchises

Yes, that is okay.

From:

Basford, Sarah

Sent:

Wednesday, November 07, 2007 10:26 AM

To:

Kunkel, Mark

Subject:

FW: LRB 07s0128 Topic: Video service franchises

Is this ok? I noticed he is from Miller's office.

Sarah Bastord

Program Assistant Legislative Reference Bureau 1 East Main, Suite 200 (608) 266-3561 sarah.basford@legis.wisconsin.gov

From:

Anderson, John

Sent:

Wednesday, November 07, 2007 10:11 AM

To:

Basford, Sarah

Subject:

RE: LRB 07s0128 Topic: Video service franchises

Sarah, could you send me a PDF of LRBa0821? thank you very much.

From: Basford, Sarah

Sent: Wednesday, November 07, 2007 10:06 AM

To: Anderson, John

Subject: LRB 07s0128 Topic: Video service franchises

Draft Requester: Kathleen Vinehout

The attached proposal has been jacketed for introduction.

<< File: LRB s0128_2 >> << File: LRB s0128/2 >>